

MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

The Applicant's Errata Sheet

Deadline: Procedural Deadline

Application Reference: EN010136

Document number: MRCNS-J3303-RPS-10133

Document Reference: S_PD_5

27 August 2024

F01



MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Document status

Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Procedural Deadline	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	August 2024

Prepared by:

RPS

Prepared for:

Morgan Offshore Wind Limited

Contents

1 ERRATA SHEET 2

Tables

Table 1.1: Errata. 3

1 Errata Sheet

- 1.1.1.1 On 17 May 2024, the application by Morgan Offshore Wind Limited for an order granting development consent for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets (hereafter referred to as the 'Morgan Generation Assets') was accepted for examination by the Planning Inspectorate. The Planning Inspectorate provided its advice on the application under section 51 of the Planning Act 2008. Relevant Representations were received on 25 July 2024.
- 1.1.1.2 In response to points highlighted in Relevant Representations, the Applicant has reviewed the application documentation for any errors or inconsistencies. The table below provides correction or clarification on matters identified.

MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Table 1.1: Errata.

Doc Number	Volume & Chapter	Paragraph/Table/Figure	Error	Correction
APP-014	Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape, landscape and visual resources	10.8.6.6 10.8.6.8 10.8.6.10 10.8.7.6 10.8.8.7 10.8.10.6 10.8.13.65 10.8.13.125 10.8.13.137 10.8.13.149 10.8.13.161 10.8.13.173 10.8.13.185 10.8.13.197	The paragraphs listed included the text '(i.e. very good visibility 20 km to 40 km approximately 70% of the year)'.	This text should read '(i.e. very good visibility 20 km to 40 km approximately 40% of the year)'.
APP-057	Volume 4, Annex 5.5: Offshore ornithology apportioning technical report	Table 1.16	In the table listed the apportioning values presented for lesser black-backed gull at the Skomer, Skokholm and seas off Pembrokeshire SPA in the non-breeding season are incorrect. It should be noted that this is a transcription error only; the erroneous values were not used within the calculations informing any of the analyses or assessments presented in HRA Stage 2 information to support an appropriate assessment Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar Site assessments (APP-098), HRA Stage 1 Screening Report (APP-099) or any other document supporting the application.	The correct values will be added into a clarification note for Deadline 1.

MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Doc Number	Volume & Chapter	Paragraph/Table/Figure	Error	Correction
APP-099	HRA Stage 1 Screening report	Paragraph A.1.2.1.1 (Table A.1) of Appendix A	<p>The collision risk estimates presented in paragraph A.1.2.1.1 (Table A.1) are incorrect.</p> <p>This is a transcription error only and these values have not been used to inform the screening process undertaken in HRA Stage 1 Screening Report (APP-099) or any other document supporting the application. This process has used the collision risk estimates calculated incorporating the parameters recommended by the EWG.</p>	The correct values will be added into a clarification note for Deadline 1.
APP-098	HRA Stage 2 information to support an appropriate assessment Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar Site assessments	Table 1.26	<p>The population provided in Table 1.26 of HRA Stage 2 information to support an appropriate assessment Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar Site assessments (APP-098) is incorrect.</p> <p>This is a transcription error only; the 2023 population has been used within the analyses presented in Table 1.26.</p>	The correct values will be added into a clarification note for Deadline 1.
APP-022	Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals	Paragraph 4.9.6.16	<p>The Applicant notes that the wording presented in paragraph 4.9.6.16 of Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals (APP-022) 'However, all geotechnical and geophysical surveys will be very short duration (up to several months), activities are likely to be intermittent, and animals are expected to recover quickly after cessation of the survey activities' was in error.</p>	<p>The correct wording should read:</p> <p>"However, all geotechnical and geophysical surveys will be of medium term duration (up to several months), activities are likely to be intermittent, and animals are expected to recover quickly after cessation of the survey activities."</p> <p>The Applicant considers surveys over a period of 'up to several months' to be of medium term duration, as set out in paragraph 4.9.6.17 of Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals (APP-022).</p>
APP-072	Outline marine mammal mitigation protocol	Paragraph 1.7.2.3	<p>The Applicant notes Natural England's comment relating to paragraph 1.7.2.3 of the Outline MMMP (APP-072) and agrees</p>	The Applicant acknowledges that the PTS ranges will not be reduced by the application of ADDs, but instead the risk of injury within the

MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Doc Number	Volume & Chapter	Paragraph/Table/Figure	Error	Correction
			that the wording here is incorrect: 'The PTS onset ranges will be further reduced by application of ADDs, described in more detail in paragraph 1.7.5.1'.	modelled ranges will reduce. The correct wording should read: 'The risk of injury within the modelled ranges will reduce by the application of ADDs, described in more detail in paragraph 1.7.5.1'.
APP-055	Volume 4, Annex 5.3: Offshore ornithology collision risk modelling technical report	Paragraph 1.3.4.5	Worked example should refer to 2,000 birds in the Morgan <u>Survey</u> Area rather than the Morgan Array Area.	The worked example should read as follows: '... if MRSea generated a density of 10 black-legged kittiwake per km ² in the Morgan Array Area for all behaviours, and there were a total of 2,000 black-legged kittiwake in the raw data for the Morgan <u>Survey</u> Area, 600 of which were in flight. The density of flying birds in the Morgan Array Area would then be calculated as 600/2000 * 10 = 3 kittiwake per km ² .'
APP-022	Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals	Table 4.10	The Isle of Man Territorial Seas Committee (TSC) provided a comment on the PEIR stating that "The TSC would request that appropriate consideration is given to the species which are protected under the Wildlife Act". This was raised again within the TSC relevant representations (RR-015) where the TSC sought confirmation that treatment of species and sites protected under Manx legislation has been accounted for within the EIA process.	Wildlife Act 1990 (Isle of Man) should be included in the Conservation Importance column of Table 4.10 within Volume 2, Chapter 4: Marine mammals.
APP-021	Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish	Paragraph 3.9.3.16	The inclusion of references to 135 dB re 1µPa ² .s SEL _{ss} and 160 dB re 1µPa SPL _{pk} being roughly equivalent are included in error and should be disregarded; this statement is not reflected in the assessment outcomes and specific assessment content, and does not change the conclusions of the assessment. The assessment outcomes are based upon the maximum extent of behavioural contours presented, which are derived from the highly precautionary 135 dB re 1µPa ² .s	The correct wording should read: 'Discrete high and low intensity mapped herring spawning grounds are located off the east coast of the IoM at Douglas Bank. Underwater sound at levels with potential to cause behavioural effects to herring (135 dB re 1µPa ² .s SEL _{ss} in line with the precautionary approach requested by stakeholders) from pile driving using a maximum hammer energy of 4,400 kJ overlap with these mapped grounds; the former encompasses both high and low intensity

MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Doc Number	Volume & Chapter	Paragraph/Table/Figure	Error	Correction
			SEL _{ss} contours, shown in Figure 3.6 of Volume 2, Chapter 3: Fish and shellfish ecology (APP-021).	mapped spawning ground (54.9% of combined high and low intensity spawning grounds)'.
APP-027	Volume 2, Chapter 9: Other sea users	Paragraph 9.5.2.22	Reference to Figure 9.6 is an error. It should refer to Figure 9.4.	Delete the words '(see Figure 9.6)' where they appear in paragraph 9.5.2.22 and replace with the words '(see Figure 9.4)'.
APP-076	Mitigation and Monitoring Schedule	Tables 1.1 to 1.12	The table headings for the third column of each table currently state 'Description of mitigation, monitoring or enhancement measure' but should state 'Description of mitigation or monitoring measure'.	The table headings for the third column of each table should state 'Description of mitigation or monitoring measure'.
APP-053	Volume 4, Annex 5.1: Offshore ornithology baseline characterisation	Table A.2	Copy and paste error. Table A.2 is titled the same as previously presented table.	Table title should be "Raw count data for the Morgan Offshore Ornithology survey area between April <u>2022</u> and March <u>2023</u> ".
APP-053	Volume 4, Annex 5.1: Offshore ornithology baseline characterisation	Table 1.15 Table 1.16 Table 1.17 Table 1.19 Table 1.24 Table 1.28	The table captions are incorrect and should not include reference to model-based abundance estimates. Only design-based abundance estimates were calculated for common gull, great black-backed gull, herring gull, lesser black-backed gull, puffin and fulmar as the abundance of these species was too low for MRSea modelling to be carried out.	The table captions should read: Table 1.15: Design-based population estimates with lower and upper (95%) confidence limits for each month surveyed from April 2021 to March 2023 for the Morgan Generation Assets study area for common gull. Table 1.16: Design-based population estimates with lower and upper (95%) confidence limits for each month surveyed from April 2021 to March 2023 for the Morgan Generation Assets study area for great black-backed gull. Table 1.17: Design-based population estimates with lower and upper (95%) confidence limits for each month surveyed from April 2021 to March 2023 for the Morgan Generation Assets study area for herring gull. Table 1.19: Design-based population estimates with lower and upper (95%) confidence limits for each month surveyed from April 2021 to March

MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND PROJECT: GENERATION ASSETS

Doc Number	Volume & Chapter	Paragraph/Table/Figure	Error	Correction
				<p>2023 for the Morgan Generation Assets study area for lesser black-backed gull.</p> <p>Table 1.24: Design-based population estimates with lower and upper (95%) confidence limits for each month surveyed from April 2021 to March 2023 for the Morgan Generation Assets study area for puffin.</p> <p>Table 1.28: Design-based population estimates with lower and upper (95%) confidence limits for each month surveyed from April 2021 to March 2023 for the Morgan Generation Assets study area for fulmar.</p>
APP-098	HRA Stage 2 information to support an appropriate assessment Part Three: Special Protection Areas and Ramsar Site assessments	Figure 1.1	The boxes presented in the diagram should be the other way round. The boxes should read: “Yes – Not taken to Stage 2. LSE can be ruled out” and “No – Taken to Stage 2. LSE can not be ruled out”.	The boxes should read: “Yes – Not taken to Stage 2. LSE can be ruled out” and “No – Taken to Stage 2. LSE can not be ruled out”.
APP-059	Volume 4, Annex 6.1: Commercial fisheries technical report	Figure 1.1, Figure 1.44, Figure 1.49, Figure 1.50, Figure 1.51, Figure 1.52, Figure 1.53, Figure 1.54, Figure 1.55, Figure 1.56, Figure 1.61, Figure 1.62, Figure 1.66.	‘Data Sources’ box in the figures was unpopulated.	The ‘Data Sources’ box for each figure should read ‘Marine Space, ICES, Contains public sector information licenced under the Open Government Licence v3.0’.